

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/921,478	MUNSHI, M. ZAFAR AMIN
	Examiner Kallambella Vijayakumar	Art Unit 1751

All Participants:

Status of Application: After Final/Allowance

(1) Kallambella Vijayakumar. (3) _____.

(2) Robert J Harris. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 January 2004

Time: 15.55 Hrs

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

ALL

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Amend the Claims 1-5, 26, 28 and 30 to claim "an implantable cardiac stimulus electrode"; Restrict the Claims 37-42 on the composition, Cancel the non-elected claims 37-42 to file a divisional application, and to condition the application for allowance. Further, a new search in view of the amendments to the claims indicated the Double Patenting Issues under 35 USC 101 wrt to US Patent No. 6,295,474 and the Attorney has been advised to send in a Terminal Disclaimer for the allowance of the instant case.